

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/517,127	03/02/2000	Scott E. Moore	MI22-1246	4844
21567 7590 05/02/2007 WELLS ST. JOHN P.S. 601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300			EXAMINER	
			ELEY, TIMOTHY V	
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/517,127	MOORE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Timothy V. Eley	3724	

The	MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address
	ent document filed on <u>24 January 2007</u> is considered non-comof 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document ired.	
☐ 1. Am ☐	VING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOC nendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	CUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
	stract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other	
	nendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin a "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction ha showing amended figures, without markings, in complianc C. Other	s been eliminated. Replacement drawings
	nendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending. C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status ic of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of evenumber by using one of the following status identifiers: (Or (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented.	dentifier, and as such, the individual status ery claim must be indicated after its claim riginal), (Currently amended), (Canceled), and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
	ner (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accorda e continuation sheet.	ince with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further exp	planation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121,	see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIOD	S FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
filed after a	is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant a rected amendment must be resubmitted.	
correction, (including amendment Quayle act	is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a prescain a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) untified within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (tion. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction liant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	eliminary amendment, a non-final amendment under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental c), and an amendment filed in response to a
	ions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the rement or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	non-compliant amendment is a non-final
<u>Failure</u> Aba filed <u>Non</u>	to timely respond to this notice will result in: ndonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment in response to a Quayle action; or -entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is andment.	
 Lega	Il Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.

Applicant states on pages 29-32 of the remarks that with respect to claim 18, the examiner took Official Notice. However, upon review of the office action of August 24, 2006, it does not appear that Official Notice was ever relied upon. Due to the amount of remarks by applicant, the complexity of the instant application and the numerous related applications, applicant should indicate where the Official Notice is thought to be in the office action, in order to provide a clean record. Perhaps applicant is referring to one of the related applications?

Primary Examiner